

Exhibit 1.1: PCSC Rules - Conflict of Interest

Revised 3/12/20

Standing Rules of the Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC)

CONTENTS

1. Basic Principles
2. Conflict of Interest
3. Processing of an Application for Official Recognition

References

Standing Rules of the Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC)

1. Basic Principles

The Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC) operates in accordance with two principal documents: the PCSC Mission Statement [1] and the PCSC Rules, Policies, and Procedures [2]. Revisions to either of these documents must be approved by the GPUS National Committee. In addition, there are a number of rules and procedures that must be adopted by the PCSC to ensure its proper functioning and to provide clear, transparent procedures to be followed in completing PCSC business. Those additional provisions are defined in this document, the Standing Rules of the PCSC.

1.1 Precedence of Documents

These Standing Rules shall be consistent with the PCSC Mission Statement [1] and with the PCSC Rules, Policies, and Procedures [2] as approved by National Committee. If an apparent conflict is discovered, the PCSC shall take action to resolve it.

1.2 Revision of Standing Rules

The Standing Rules may be revised by adoption of a written proposal that receives a 2/3 vote of approval from the PCSC as the result of an online vote.

2. Conflict of Interest

2.1 Prior to the Presidential Nominating Convention, members of the PCSC and the Steering Committee liaison to the PCSC are asked to avoid making public statements that could be interpreted as advocacy for or against any particular presidential candidate. This is not intended to prohibit discussion of candidates that occurs incidental to the pursuit of Green Party business.

2.2 Prior to the Presidential Nominating Convention, an individual may be found to have a conflict of interest if

- a) they have established an active campaign committee for the purpose of running or exploring a run for the Green Party nomination,
- b) they have established a staff or contractor relationship with a presidential campaign (which is automatically assumed to exist if any compensation or reimbursement payments have been made to the individual by the campaign),
- c) they are serving as a de facto spokesperson for a campaign, have endorsed a particular candidate, or are engaging in continuing public advocacy for or against a particular candidate,
- d) they have a family or business relationship to an active candidate,
- e) they have made financial donations in excess of \$100 to any candidate for the GPUS nomination,
- f) they have made a public political endorsement of any candidate (by which is meant a statement urging supporting one candidate to the exclusion of others)

2.3 A motion to declare a conflict of interest may be filed by a submission to the PCSC list by any two members of the PCSC. The motion should include supporting facts and particular instances relevant to the concern. Such motion shall be filed at least two weeks prior to the telecon on which the motion shall be considered so that the member in question can be prepared to address the matter. The co-chairs shall investigate the facts of the matter and report their findings to the PCSC when the motion comes before the Committee.

2.4 If said motion passes by a 2/3 vote on the telecon then the member who has been found to have a conflict of interest will be sent a letter from the co-chairs asking them to take a leave of absence from the PCSC until after the Presidential Nominating Convention. Their appointing state party or caucus will be notified of this action. During the leave of absence the member should not vote on PCSC business and should not call in to PCSC telecons unless invited by the co-chairs to address a particular agenda item. After the PNC, the leave of absence may end if the member otherwise satisfies the criteria for PCSC membership.

3. Processing of an Application for Official Recognition

The PCSC had adopted the following standard procedures for processing an application for official recognition under Article 10 of the GPUS rules and procedures:

- a) The PCSC Co-chairs shall inspect the application and immediately reply to the applicant if the application appears to be incomplete or to contain errors. The applicant may be asked to resubmit the application after correcting the listed shortcomings.
- b) When an application for official recognition is received, the PCSC Co-chairs shall immediately notify the PCSC of the application and begin inspection of the application.
- c) The PCSC Co-chairs shall obtain a report from the signature compiler(s) that indicates whether the applicant has met the Article 10 requirement for signatures of support.

d) The PCSC Co-chairs shall investigate candidate filings with the Federal Elections Commission (FEC) to determine if the applicant has met the fundraising requirements of Article 10.

e) Within two weeks of receipt of the application, the PCSC Co-chairs shall issue a report to the PCSC that states their conclusions, if any, regarding whether the candidate has met the requirements for official recognition. This report shall provide supporting data packages and other information supporting the conclusions.

f) PCSC members shall be given 7 days to inspect the co-chairs report and discuss the application. The co-chairs shall schedule a vote on the application either at the first PCSC telecon after the end of this 7 day discussion period or by email ballot immediately following the 7 day discussion period. At this point, the email vote does not have to include an additional discussion period since the 7 days already provided will have served this purpose. The PCSC decision shall be determined by majority vote of those casting votes.

g) The applicant shall be immediately informed of any decision of the PCSC.

h) If an application is rejected, the applicant may reapply, thus launching a new approval cycle.

i) All persons involved, including the candidate, are expected to exercise due diligence in expediting action upon the application.

###

References

[1] PCSC Mission Statement
<https://gpus.org/committees/presidential-campaign-support/mission/>

[2] PCSC Rules, Policies and Procedures
<https://gpus.org/committees/presidential-campaign-support/committee-rules/>

END OF STANDING RULES

ADDITIONAL RELEVANT DOCUMENTS - NOT PART OF THE STANDING RULES

Coordination Agreement between Media Committee and Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC)

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/14DS6pmKOEvt-OwxmtHzBKCJboWEMjHy37oS9oWrJSGY/>

[In March 2019 this agreement was approved by the co-chairs of the PCSC and was accepted by the Media Committee in telecons.]

This Agreement will go into effect upon concurrence by the co-chairs of the Media Committee and the Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC). Nothing in this agreement is intended to override or conflict with existing committee mission statements or committee rules and procedures.

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 Both the Media Committee and the PCSC have responsibilities that touch on the 2020 presidential campaign. Coordination of the two committees is important to present a consistent and appropriate message to the public and to avoid confusing candidates and GPUS members.

1.2 Section 1-13 of the PCSC Mission Statement states that the PCSC shall "represent the GPUS in the coordination of communication with the campaigns of the GPUS presidential and vice-presidential nominees."

1.3. Prior to the Presidential Nominating Convention (PNC) the PCSC seeks to give all candidates running for the nomination a fair chance to make their basic case for support to GPUS voters. The PCSC seeks to apply objective standards to all candidates equally. The PCSC does not guarantee equal success since some candidates will be much more successful than others in developing a complete campaign and winning support from voters.

1.4. The PCSC is also responsible for communicating to all the persons seeking the nomination, making sure that GPUS members are properly informed about the candidates, managing an "official recognition" process, and staying in touch with the candidates. This work will generally be done using internal GPUS communication channels.

1.5 The PCSC is charged with promoting debate inclusion in the general election. This will require general media activity which will involve the Media Committee.

1.6 The Media Committee is responsible for external communications with the public and the media, including press relations. Generally, the PCSC talks to candidates and to GPUS members while the Media Committee talks to the media and the public. The PCSC does not currently maintain its own media database and does not normally engage in press relation activities.

2. COORDINATION OF PRESS RELEASES

Additional pages of "Coordination Agreement between Media Committee and Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC)" can be found at this link:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/14DS6pmKOEvt-OwxmtHzBKCJboWEMjHy37oS9oWrJSGY/>


Exhibit 1.2: Changes to PCSC Rules Website as of 06/14/2020

Clean The Greens Organizers Only Hub




The green parties committee pages and rules and regulation pages are not working

Chad Wilson · Jun 14, 2020, 8:13 AM



The page you were looking for was not found.
If you're looking to make a donation, please
call our Washington DC office 202-319-7191
between 9:00 am and 1:00 pm.



<https://gpus.org/committees/presidential-campaign-support/committee-rules/> now redirects to <https://gpus.org/committees/presidential-campaign-support-2-2/committee-rules/>. Neither Section 2 nor any other part of the page makes any mention of conflict of interest rules as of 07/07/2020.




Exhibit 2: Howie Hawkins is Running as a Candidate With Multiple Parties in Violation of GPUS Bylaws

10-1.2 Party Affiliation: Candidate is not a registrant or otherwise a party member of any state or national level political party in the individual's primary state of residence except for a state party which has affiliated with GPUS, or a party forming for the intent of GPUS affiliation in a state where there is no GPUS affiliated state party.

The Socialist Party
1,842 Tweets



The Socialist Party
@SPofUSA

We are the Socialist Party, a multi-tendency organization with members & locals across the United States. ★ 2020 Pres. @HowieHawkins & VP @AngelaWalker

socialistpartyusa.net Joined November 2012

2,435 Following 9,034 Followers

ballot-access.org/?s=socialist+party&x=0&y=0

Socialist Party Chooses Howie Hawkins for President

Posted on October 26, 2019 by Richard Winger

The Socialist Party USA is holding a national convention in Newark, New Jersey, October 25-26. On October 26, it nominated Howie Hawkins for president. Hawkins is also seeking the Green Party nomination, but he pledged that he will run in ...
[Continue reading →](#)

Posted in Uncategorized | 31 Replies

Two Individuals are Actively Seeking the Socialist Party's Presidential Nomination

Posted on October 17, 2019 by Richard Winger

The Socialist Party will hold its national convention October 25-26, in Newark, New Jersey. Two individuals are actively seeking the presidential nomination. They are Howie Hawkins of Syracuse, New York, and Elijah Manley of Florida. Hawkins is also seeking the ...
[Continue reading →](#)

Posted in Uncategorized | 5 Replies

Socialist Party Sets National Convention

Posted on July 19, 2019 by Richard Winger

The Socialist Party will hold its national convention in Newark, New Jersey, on October 18-19, 2019. The party will decide whether or not to run a presidential candidate. The last time it didn't run anyone for president was 1984. There ...
[Continue reading →](#)

SOCIALIST PARTY USA

HOME ABOUT US JOIN OR RENEW THE SOCIALIST NATIONAL OFFICE STATES & LOCALS More...

All Posts Statements Locals Action Campaigns

Beyond Bernie: An Open Letter to Sanders Supporters

Apr 12 2 min read

Beyond Bernie: An Open Letter to Sanders Supporters

If we have learned one thing from Bernie Sanders' two presidential campaigns, it is that radical politics cannot be advanced from within the Democratic Party. This is not a criticism of the hard work done by countless volunteers on the Sanders campaign, but an indictment on a party that will do everything up to and including rigging its own presidential primaries to prevent meaningful change.

For many, Senator Sanders' loss in the presidential primary is still raw and deciding your next political step may take time. For others, you may be ready now to continue advocating for radical solutions in the 2020 election.

Wherever you find yourself at this moment, do not give in to the hopelessness and defeatism being sold by the Democratic Party in the form of their candidate Joe Biden. As was the case in 2016 with Hillary Clinton, radical alternatives do exist to the unpopular neoliberal being peddled under the guise of "Anyone But Trump" and "Vote Blue No Matter Who". The Democrats want to lay the blame for Donald Trump's victory at your feet if you do not support the Democratic nominee chosen by their section of the ruling class. Do not let them.

Instead, turn yourself to the future.

Now is the time to abandon the Democratic Party for good, not just for another four years. Some will attempt to corral you now into supporting Joe Biden, whereas others will wait to win you back with a 2024 progressive candidate like Congresswoman Ocasio-Cortez. So long as these campaigns exist within the framework of the capitalist two-party system, progress will not be possible and your hard work will be in vain. The capitalist parties exist to advance the interests of the ruling class. The working class must organize independently in order to advance its own interests.

For our part, the Socialist Party has signed onto a dynamic presidential campaign by nominating longtime member and organizer Howie Hawkins of New York. Howie's campaign is one of left collaboration, which is why he is also seeking the nomination of the Green Party as well as support from across the Left. We are proud to be involved in this unprecedented unity campaign and excited for what it can achieve for independent Left politics in the United States.

You can learn more about the Howie Hawkins 2020 presidential campaign [here](#).

Exhibit 3: Andrea Merida Cuellar Conflicts of Interest

Home > Campaign finance data > Browse data > Disbursements

Disbursements

Viewing 23 filtered results for: Clear all filters

Data type: processed 2019-2020 01/01/2019 - 12/31/2020 "merida andrea"

Spender	Recipient	State	Description	Disbursement date	Amount
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	MERIDA, ANDREA E.	CO	CAMPAIGN MANAGER SERVICES JUNE	05/26/2020	\$1,000.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	MERIDA, ANDREA E.	CO	CAMPAIGN MANAGER SERVICES MAY	04/27/2020	\$1,000.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	MERIDA, ANDREA E.	CO	CAMPAIGN MANAGER SERVICES APRIL 2/2	04/27/2020	\$500.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	MERIDA, ANDREA E.	CO	CAMPAIGN MANAGER	03/26/2020	\$500.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	MERIDA, ANDREA E.	CO	CAMPAIGN MANAGER	03/03/2020	\$500.00

www.facebook.com/andreamerida/about

Facebook profile page for Andrea Mérida Cuéllar. The profile picture shows a campaign sign that reads "YOU HAVE NOTHING TO LOSE BUT YOUR CHAINS MAY DAY 2019" and "H2O HOWIE HAWKINS GREEN FOR PRESIDENT". The cover photo is a dark image with the same campaign sign. The profile name is Andrea Mérida Cuéllar. The bio section is partially visible, showing "Campaign manager at Howie Hawkins 2020 Exploratory Committee June 1, 2019 to present".

Web browser screenshot showing the Green Party of the United States website. The address bar shows "spac.org/committees/media/committee-members/". The page title is "Green Party of the United States". The main heading is "Media Committee Members". Below this, there are links for "MC Home", "Bylaws", "Donate", "Green Papers", "Members", "Newsroom", "Mission", and "Contact". Under the "California" section, the names "Sandra Everette" and "Laura Wells" are listed. Under the "Colorado" section, the names "Sean Friend" and "Andrea Merida" are listed. The "Colorado" section is highlighted with a red box.

Web browser screenshot showing the Colorado Green Party website. The address bar shows "coloradogreenparty.org/about/state-officers/". The page title is "State Officers". The main heading is "State Officers". Below this, there is a section for "State Party Co-Chairs" which lists "Andrea Mérida Cuéllar", "Denver, CO", "303-550-0677", and "Email Andrea". This section is highlighted with a red box. Below this, there is a section for "Dave Bell", "Fort Collins, CO", and "Email Dave".

Web browser screenshot showing the Green Party of the United States website. The address bar shows "greenparty.org". The page title is "Green Party of the United States". The main heading is "Bylaws, Rules, Policies and Procedures Committee – members". Below this, there are links for "BRPP Home", "Members", "BRPP", "Special Rules", "Documents", "Mission Statements", and "Contact". Under the "Co-chairs" section, the names "Charles Sherman" and "David Gerry" are listed. Under the "Steering Committee Liaison" section, the name "Kristin Cambo" is listed. Under the "Members" section, there is a table with columns for "BRPP Committee Member", "Appointing State/Caucus", "Appointed", and "End of Term". The table lists "David Bond" (California, July 2019, July 2021) and "Terrence Tower" (California, July 2019, July 2021). The row for "Andrea Merida Cuellar" (Colorado, August 2019, August 2021) is highlighted in yellow.

2.1 Prior to the Presidential Nominating Convention, members of the PCSC and the Steering Committee liaison to the PCSC are asked to avoid making public statements that could be interpreted as advocacy for or against any particular presidential candidate. This is not intended to prohibit discussion of candidates that occurs incidental to the pursuit of Green Party business.

(Part of the policy includes no endorsements, no donations, and no signing signatures of support for the Green Pres. Candidates)

Exhibit 4: Lavender Green Caucus Exposes Ms. Cuellar's Awareness of the Bylaws She's Breaking & Her Plan to Eliminate Those Rules During the Primary



Cathi Woodward

As a co-chair of the National **Lavender Green Caucus**, I have not stated a preference since January of last year when it became clear to me that the state that is controlled by tyranny, my own Colorado, has leadership that is corrupt to the core 😞

Given that this corrupt and authoritarian mentality is actually also the campaign manager for H2O, I can in no way support this candidate.

He has shown himself to be not quite up to par on a number of issues and it is actually embarrassing to put up an old white guy that was selected by a group of insiders who comes across like a dufus 😞

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Andrea Merida** <andrea@howiehawkins.us>
Date: Mon, Apr 15, 2019 at 7:44 PM
Subject: PCSC letter
To: [REDACTED]

Hey [REDACTED] good to chat with you. Here's a draft of what I suggest for a letter to PCSC. The proposal we are going to the NC within a couple weeks, so my hope is that we can amend before it does.

+++++

It is no secret that Green candidacies face nearly insurmountable challenges while campaigning. Resources of all kinds are difficult to gather, but though each of our campaigns are doing our best, we look to the national party for whatever support may be possible.

We understand that the Presidential Campaign Support Committee is considering revision of the party [Rules and Procedures, Section X](#), such that it would be possible that the potential benefits to running as a Green would be curtailed. We understand the PCSC is considering removing the following:

10-2.5 Individuals who are officially recognized candidates may be eligible for GPUS provided resources, including information on GPUS sponsored websites, contact lists, and other resources that GPUS might provide.

We see the removal of the potential to benefit from party resources to be seriously detrimental to our campaigns, because it would add to the barrier in promoting our candidacies that we already experience in the larger electorate by completely silencing our dialog with our Green base. However, we understand that the issue may be that the PCSC was not able to arrive at a process that would allow for fairness. Therefore, we would like to suggest the following criteria for determining access to party resources, and our campaigns have arrived at consensus on them:

1. Candidates should complete the PCSC Questionnaire
2. Candidates swear in writing that they are not a member of any other party that has ballot lines, and that they will give a legitimate attempt to appear on state ballot lines, as demonstrated by ballot petitioning efforts.
3. Candidates should have a fully-launched campaign website, whereby they can collect email signups, process donations and offer official policy positions. Such a website should be stand-alone, and social media pages would not be an acceptable substitute
4. Candidates should have 100 electronic signatures of support from Green Party registered individuals or members identified by state party rosters or dues lists, which would be collected by GPUS.
5. Candidates should either have filed for a campaign committee with the FEC, or in the case of the exploratory phase, should have secured other official documents such as a Letter of Intent or EIN from the IRS.
6. Fundraising records should reflect at least 100 individual contributions of at least \$20 apiece from individuals in at least 5 states.

We feel these are easily-achievable metrics for any campaign that intends to do justice to our party's reputation, and we would ask that the PCSC proposal be amended as such before submission to the National Committee.

--
Andrea Mérida Cuéllar
she/her/ella
Hawkins 2020 Exploratory Committee
howiehawkins.us

Exhibit 5: Former PCSC Member Ron Burch Raises Concerns About Conflicts of Interest in Favor of Hawkins Campaign During 2020 Primary






Exhibit 6: Hawkins Campaign Manager “Doxxed” a Rival Primary Candidate to a Large Group of Low-Level Volunteers Between April-May 2020.

Andrea Mérida Cuéllar 1:27pm
he really is

I did a whole background check on him.

Andrea Mérida Cuéllar 1:29pm
Tax liens.



Married and possibly divorced at 19.

Resisting arrest.

cricket 39% 8:34 PM

Andrea E. Merida, Gre...
Typically replies in a few hours

Are you available to chat?

How can I help you?

Oh I am asking about a rumor about Howie Doxxing people or the other way around. I have been given files about this & was just wondering if this is a misinformation or hoax?

I heard something about that. We did what campaigns always do: opposition research. There's a political newbie who actually seems to have been a mole that is not understanding that pulling up public records on your opposition is Campaigning 101.

Doxxing is something completely different. It means trying to get people fired or evicted or whatever. That is NOT what we did.

Oh, well it's weird, I was given these




Exhibit 7: Standing Rock Indigenous Greens Describes Incidents in Which They Were Disenfranchised by Andrea Mérida Cuéllar

Report on Standing Rock - Concern from Green Indigenous Women

INTRODUCTION

We are Indigenous Greens, Charmaine Shark Barros from the Apache tribe and Dawn Neptune Adams from the Penobscot Nation. We are long term, committed Greens, with one of us having run for Lt Governor of Colorado, and the other now serving on the Maine GP steering committee. We were part of a Green team at Standing Rock along with Andrea Merida in the week preceding the 2016 election, sponsored by the Stein/Baraka campaign. Our mission was to provide support to Standing Rock and to lay groundwork for deeper relations between Indigenous communities and the Green Party.

Our experience was disturbing. We were initially reluctant to publicly discuss it and requested others not raise this issue. As events unfolded, however, we came to feel it would be unfair to the party and to our Indigenous brothers and sisters to keep this experience to our selves, especially because demeaning, false accusations have been made by Andrea Merida against Charmaine.

We feel that Andrea's actions at Standing Rock violated Green values of respect and fairness, especially towards members of oppressed communities. We have provided many specific details in this report for thorough documentation. It was the totality of these incidents that we believe amounts to a serious problem that the National Committee deserves to know about.

We realize the contents of our report may come as a surprise to those who hold a different view of Andrea Merida. We stand on our credibility as Indigenous leaders and elder. We ask that preconceptions not stand in the way of your hearing our experience as Indigenous leaders who've built a lifetime of credibility in our communities as activists and devoted advocates for Standing Rock, Mother Earth, humanity and the Green Party.

CONCERNS

Our concerns are as follows:

1. Andrea assumed control of our group undemocratically and made unilateral, authoritarian decisions that were hostile to the Standing Rock people, culture and Elders. She was disdainful of our suggestions as Indigenous Greens for showing respect and building community with the Indigenous people.

1. Andrea over-rode our desire to be a part of Oceti Sakowin Camp life, insisting the Green camp be placed at the river where we would encounter few people. When members of the Standing Rock community did come visit us, Andrea treated Indigenous and other visitors with hostile indifference. When the founders of the national group "Natives Lives Matter" visited, she offered food to everyone but them. Eventually, Andrea clarified that she was willing to speak only with Greens registered to vote from North Dakota.

2. Andrea ignored Shark's critical guidance about fire safety at the camp, insisting the fire be built directly outside her personal tent, rather than using an existing hole in the ground. Ignoring Shark's instructions, she started an above-ground fire that put everyone at risk while we were attending a prayer meeting.

3. Andrea rudely silenced Indigenous people who came down to the river to pray in the mornings, shouting out "Don't you know people are sleeping?" In fact, formal activities began at Standing Rock an hour before sunrise.

4. Andrea subverted the distribution of medical supplies, food and equipment by insisting on dropping the materials off in a haphazard, arbitrary manner that put supplies in places they could not be effectively used. This was an affront to Shark's knowledge of the camp as an Elder who had helped build Standing Rock Resistance since its founding.

5. Andrea refused to show respect by attending the Sacred Fire or Sunrise Ceremonies, insisting that people would have to come to our out-of-the-way Green campsite if they wanted to interact with us. She refused to attend a workshop on decolonization with her fellow Greens, saying she already knew enough about that.

6. Andrea prevented us from connecting to Indigenous and other press to cover our visit and the growing Green-Indigenous relationship. By refusing to take us to an arranged interview in the van rented by the campaign for our collective use, Andrea scuttled our interview with a journalist from Indian Country Today Media Network. In the same way, Andrea gave Dawn no choice but to hitch-hike on the day before the election to get a time-critical video uploaded to the campaign staff.

7. Rather than build bridges to the Standing Rock community, Andrea spent the bulk of each day off site in Bismarck or at the casino."

This is just a paste from part of the full complaint uploaded to publicly uploaded to Facebook. For the full report please reach out to the Green Party National Committee.

PrivateBin - Because ignorance is bliss

1.3.4

PrivateBin is a minimalist, open source online pastebin where the server has zero knowledge of pasted data. Data is encrypted/decrypted in *the browser* using 256 bits AES. More information on the project page (<https://privatebin.info/>).

<https://privatebin.net/?1102ea06e1d6823a#U2b+pL9UEJkVrpKuFrh/Ss4DW0lvfSM3OusVNCyXu5Q=> as featured in <https://medium.com/howie-hawkins-watch/hawkins-team-sends-letter-demanding-i-let-hawkins-have-an-unfair-advantage-2e6c65be6132>

Exhibit 8: Michael O'Neil Conflicts

Spender	Recipient	State	Description	Disbursement date	Amount
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	07/16/2019	\$474.95
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	07/17/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	07/24/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	07/31/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	08/07/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	08/14/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	08/21/2019	\$200.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	O'NEIL, MICHAEL E	NY	VIDEO SHOOT	08/26/2019	\$137.50
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	09/09/2019	\$400.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	09/16/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF THE UNITED STATES	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	PAYROLL	09/20/2019	\$1,533.59
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	09/23/2019	\$200.00
GREEN PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE	O'NEIL, MICHAEL	NY	WAGES	10/07/2019	\$200.00

National Staff



4 people like this. Sign Up to see what your friends like.



The National Staff of the Green Party of the United States are members of the *Industrial Workers of the World*. The statement from the Staff *can be read here*.



Michael O'Neil Communications Manager


Michael has over fifteen years of political communications experience, with twelve of those spent with the Green Party in everything from street canvassing to national press relations. Michael served as staff on the 2010 New York gubernatorial campaign that won back ballot status for the Greens in that state for the first time since 2002. In the 2014 and 2018 gubernatorial campaigns he helped sustain their ballot status in the roles of Downstate Coordinator and Campaign Manager, respectively.

In 2016, Michael was hired as the Assistant to the Campaign Manager of the Jill Stein/Ajamu Baraka campaign, co-managing the campaign's Brooklyn, NY office and producing events and livestreams.

Michael has served as Co-Chair of the Green Party of New York and has previously worked for the National Coalition Against Censorship and the radical performance community Reverend Billy and the Church of Stop Shopping. He assisted the WGA-EAST with online communications during the 2007-08

Writers Guild of America Strike. He resides in Syracuse, NY.

Exhibit 9: Brendan Hobbz Phillips



Home > Campaign finance data > Browse data > Disbursements

Disbursements

Viewing 3 filtered results for: [Clear all filters](#)

Data type: processed Beginning 01/01/2019 "brendan phillips"

Spender	Recipient	State	Description	Disbursement date	Amount
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	PHILLIPS, BRENDAN	UT	BALLOT ACCESS CONSULTANT CONTRACTOR	10/02/2019	\$500.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	BRENDAN S PHILLIPS	UT	BALLOT ACCESS CONSULTING	09/02/2019	\$500.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	BRENDAN S PHILLIPS	UT	BALLOT ACCESS CONSULTING	08/12/2019	\$500.00

Results per page: 30

presidential election.

Green Party of the United States
www.gp.org

For Immediate Release:
December 19, 2019

Contacts:
Brendan Phillips, Ballot Access Coordinator | Brendan_S_Phillips@yahoo.com
Michael O'Neil, Communications Manager | meo@gp.org | 202-804-2758
Holly Hart, Co-chair, Media Committee | media@gp.org | 202-804-2758
Craig Seeman, Co-chair, Media Committee | media@gp.org | 202-804-2758

Exhibit 10: Kevin Zeese Demands Ian Schlakman drop out of the primary to help Howie Hawkins

Did you really?

Kevin Zeese <[REDACTED]>
To: Ian Schlakman

Wed, May 29, 2019 at 10:33 AM

Did you really complain about the Green Party tweeting about Howie Hawkins campaign announcement? If so, it is self-defeating for the Green Party and an action that undermines the party and shows your mistaken, selfish priorities. The Green Party should be promoting their candidates, especially the serious ones like Howie, as the corporate media will not report on our candidates. The Green Party cannot be silencing itself in social media. Please explain what happened.

It the report from [REDACTED] is accurate and you did make such a complaint, do you think the Green Party should not let people know who the candidates are who are running for office? Should the Green Party be like the corporate media and not report on our own candidates? Should they run in secret? I realize your campaign is basically a secret but that is because unlike Hawkins you are not really running a serious campaign. If your campaign was actually campaigning the Green Party could be tweeting about you, but you are not really campaigning. You should not silence social media of the Green Party on the presidential campaign because other candidates are actually campaigning and trying to make news while you are not really campaigning.

You say you are running. How much money have you raised? How many volunteers do you have? Have you issued any press releases? I see nothing on your campaign site about current national issues, e.g., Venezuela, Assange, NATO protests, war with Iran -- your last posting was on the mayor of Baltimore more than a month ago. Compare your lack of commentary on national issues with Hawkins consistent comments on them, <https://howiehawkins.us/globetrot/>. By the end of the week, Howie will already have visited about a dozen states. How many have you campaigned in?

Why are you even running? When we asked you why you were running for president after the gubernatorial race, you said -- you always wanted to run as soon as you were legally able to run for president. That is a terrible, narcissistic reason to run for office. You have no record on which to run. You ran a terrible gubernatorial race and a failed city council race. That is not a resume to run for president. You should be spending these years doing something to build a record for what you stand for and show you are serious about the issues of our times. If you want to run for president, you need to build a record of accomplishment first. Fifteen years of movement work will build a record for you to run on when you are in your 50s and have the experience that shows your commitment. When we talked you said you were going to run a campaign like Eugene Debs but Debs actually had a long history of accomplishment before he ran for president. Your experience is even less than David Cobb had in the disastrous 2004 campaign.

You should drop out and support Hawkins. He has a long history and deep knowledge of issues that you can't come close to matching, indeed, no Green can match it. Greens need a serious and strong campaign in 2020. Hawkins is building a stellar campaign team, raising money to achieve matching funds, a plan for ballot access in every state and messaging that differentiates Greens for the duopoly based on deep knowledge and real-life experience. 2020 is going to be a difficult election cycle for Greens. Hawkins is the only person running who has the strength and experience to handle this pressure. Maybe the annual meeting of the Maryland Green Party would be a good time for you to announce your support for Howie.

I hope the report from [REDACTED] was wrong and you did not complain about the Green Party tweeting about Hawkins entering the race. [REDACTED] now saying because of your complaint the Green Party will not tweet about any presidential candidates. This is self-defeating for the Green Party which needs to be its own media because the corporate media will not cover our candidates. If you took this action, you have done serious damage to the Party.

Please let me know what happened and explain why you are still in the race.

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL <https://howiehawkins.us/press-advisory-for-dc/>. The page has a green header with the 'H2O HOWIE HAWKINS GREEN FOR PRESIDENT' logo. The main content is titled 'PRESS ADVISORY FOR DC' and 'PRESS ADVISORY'. It includes contact information for Kevin Zeese and Michael O'Neil, and a quote from Howie Hawkins about his campaign. The date is April 3, 2019.

Home > Campaign finance data > Browse data > Disbursements

Disbursements

Viewing 2 filtered results for: Clear all filters

Data type: processed

2019-2020

01/01/2019

- 12/31/2020

"zeese, kevin"

Spender	Recipient	State	Description	Disbursement date	Amount
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	ZESE, KEVIN	MD	PRESS SECRETARY MAY	05/01/2020	\$1,000.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	ZESE, KEVIN	MD	PRESS SECRETARY APRIL	04/28/2020	\$1,000.00

Why is that a problem? Because as Zeese referenced in the email he sent me, the Hawkins team has no desire to have a fair primary. In fact, Zeese is on the media committee that decides things like what the party tweets out, <https://gp.us.org/committees/media/media-committee-members/>. The reason he's so angry in the email he sent me is because, after my complaint tweet, rational and fair-minded members of the media committee deleted the pro-Hawkins tweet and are now blocking him and other openly biased Hawkins supporters from turning our Green Party into a 24/7 Hawkins promotion machine.

Exhibit 11: Robin Laurain



Robin Laurain

Co-Chair of the Green Party of Michigan
Local Liaison of the Green Party of Michigan

Message

- member at **Lavender Green Caucus**
- Community Organizer at **Howie Hawkins 2020**
- Indigenous Caucus GPUS**
- Works at **Green Party Elders Caucus**
- Political Organizer at **Green Party of the**



Robin Laurain

I will drink a beer with a right winger. I want a progressive to be my President and yes, I am a strong supporter of **Howie Hawkins**. I have been for years so for me to act neutral would be a sham and not who I am as a person. You always know what you get with me and I am loyal. I don't grab the next shiny object that floats by.

4h Like Reply

Exhibit 12: Robert Edward Smith

Green Party of the United States

Home Elections National Comm

Committees Rules

CCC Members

CCC Home | Documents | Members | Minutes | Policies & Procedures | Webinars | Run for Office | Organizing Tools | Contact

Connecticut
Barbara Barry
David Bedell, Observer

Illinois
Al Reed

Iowa
Holly Hart, Co-Chair

Michigan
Erin Fox (December 2018 - December 2020)

New York
Gloria Mattiera, SC Liaison
Jason Nabewaniec

Ohio
Logan Martinez

Oregon
Starlene Rankin, Observer & national staff

Pennsylvania
Hillary Kane

Tennessee
Ed Griffith, Observer

West Virginia
Robert Edward Smith

Updated on May 4, 2020

Home > Campaign finance data > Browse data > Disbursements

Disbursements

Viewing 5 filtered results for: Clear all filters

Data type: processed 2019-2020 01/01/2019 - 12/31/2020 "smith robert"

West Virginia

Spender	Recipient	State	Description	Disbursement date	Amount
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	SMITH, ROBERT	WV	MEDIA COORDINATOR CONSULTANT MAY	05/01/2020	\$500.00
HOWIE HAWKINS 2020	SMITH, ROBERT	WV	MEDIA COORDINATOR CONSULTANT APRIL	04/30/2020	\$500.00
MARYLAND GREEN PARTY	SMITH, ROBERT EDWARD	WV	SOCIAL MEDIA CONTRACTOR	01/12/2020	\$300.00
MARYLAND GREEN PARTY	SMITH, ROBERT EDWARD	WV	SOCIAL MEDIA COORDINATION	11/15/2019	\$300.00
MARYLAND GREEN PARTY	SMITH, ROBERT EDWARD	WV	SOCIAL MEDIA COORDINATION	10/09/2019	\$225.00

Results per page: 30

Showing 1 to 5 of 5 entries

<https://gpus.org/committees/coordinated-campaign/ccc-members/>

Exhibit 13: Joint Statement

Joint Call to Action Statement issued by Five 2020 Green Party Presidential Candidates

Wednesday, October 16, 2019

Dear Green Party members,

We five candidates for the 2020 Green Party U.S. Presidential nomination — Dennis Lambert, Sednam Kinamo Christin Moyowasizfa-Curry, David Rolde, Ian Schlakman and Chad Wilson — are issuing this public statement calling on all Greens in all U.S. states and territories to contact their state Green Party's representatives on the GPUS Presidential Campaign Support Committee, Steering Committee, National Committee, as well as all relevant GPUS Committees to demand accountability for the representatives votes and actions.

We present these five demands for the PCSC:

•Act in adherence with the Green Party's Ten Key Values by helping all the Green Party Presidential candidates get on the state Green Party primary and caucus ballots, rather than trying to force some candidates out of the election. PCSC must allow the grassroots membership of the GPUS to make the decision of whom we nominate.

•Follow the Rules & Procedures of the Green Party of the United States by continuing to keep all candidates who are seeking official recognition on the PCSC's publicly displayed list of active candidates, as well as by continuing to provide public access to the PCSC questionnaire submitted by each candidate.

•The PCSC's list must state that "all the candidates are 'active candidates' as the current list only states" as the list through July 2019, not "other candidates" as the list currently list

•Enforce the criteria for official recognition for all candidates equally. Rescind Howie Hawkins' official recognition because Hawkins is violating the "Party Affiliation" recognition criterion (set out in the Rules & Procedures of the GPUS) by being a member of another party.

•Replace both PCSC Co-Chairs. The committee needs new leadership who will be committed to conducting and facilitating a fair process.

We are confident with thorough investigations the five demands we have made will receive broad support.

Moreover, we call on the GPUS Steering Committee, National Committee, all other GPUS Committees and Caucuses, and on the Green Parties in every U.S. state and colony to adhere to the Ten Key Values; to insist on a fair GPUS Presidential nomination process; and to force the Presidential Campaign Support Committee (PCSC) to accept and implement our demands. This is the third joint statement this year by Green Party Presidential candidates asking for equal access for all the candidates.

In this election cycle the GPUS is manifesting its key value of Respect for Diversity with the largest number of candidates that we have ever had running for the Presidential nomination in the history of our national political party. We have candidates from many states and representing diversity in ethnicity, age, religion, disabilities, sexuality, educational background, ideology, social and economic class, etc. It is an enormous opportunity for the GPUS to develop and showcase a vibrant and inclusive grassroots process for choosing our Presidential nominee. Green Party members all over the USA must have an opportunity to meet as many candidates as possible as well as to vote for any candidate that they so choose.

Yet instead of highlighting this diversity and promoting the full range of candidates, the national Green Party committees and leaders are trying to stifle it. On Sunday October 6, 2019 the GPUS PCSC announced via email that as of November 4, 2019 they are going to remove most GPUS Presidential candidates from the committee's online list of active candidates. The

Committee is planning to keep only the candidates to whom the Committee has already granted 'official recognition' on the list. This change would violate the Rules and Procedures of the Green Party of the United States, which states <https://www.gpus.org/rules-procedures#910>

Rule 10-3.1 on Public Access states: "As part of its publicly-available information pages the PCSC shall include, as a minimum, the assessment metrics identified in Section 10-1 above for all candidates which the PCSC determines are actively seeking official recognition or which have already achieved official recognition. The PCSC shall also provide public access to the PCSC questionnaire submitted by each candidate."

We five presidential candidates are all actively seeking official recognition. We have filed with the FEC to satisfy GPUS recognition requirements even though the FEC does not require us to file. We solicit GPUS members to sign an online form (which is monitored by the PCSC and has no verifiable paper trail) in support of our campaigns in order to satisfy GPUS recognition requirements. Most of us have submitted the PCSC questionnaire to satisfy GPUS recognition requirements. We all have traveled around the country to various states to speak at debates. Most of us have had our applications accepted by the Green Party of California (GPCA), which is the largest state Green Party for the consideration of placing our names on GPCA primary ballot. All of us are Green Party members and are not registered as members of any political party aside from our state GPUS affiliates. The PCSC knows that we are all actively seeking official recognition. The PCSC is required to publicly list our names, information and questionnaires on their active candidates list. The PCSC, according to their own GPUS Rules & Procedures we all received are not allowed to remove our names from the list of active candidates without cause.

The current behavior of the PCSC — threatening to illegally remove legitimate active Green Party presidential candidates from their active candidates list while retaining an improperly recognized candidate on the list — is part of a pattern of unfair treatment of the candidates by PCSC and other Green Party bodies that has persisted throughout this election cycle.

The PCSC and other Green Party leadership bodies must not continue to construct roadblocks to white down the field and decide the nomination result ahead of time.

The PCSC is illegally removing active candidates from the active candidates list will make it more difficult for the candidates to fundraise, collect signatures to qualify for official recognition. Moreover, removing candidates from the list is likely to affect state Green Parties' decisions about placing candidates on primary ballots and about which candidates to invite to debates.

The PCSC's decision is not only a violation of the Rules & Procedures of the GPUS; it is also contrary to the Green Party's Ten Key Values: Democracy, Social Justice, and Equal Opportunity, Decentralization, and Respect for Diversity. The decision also raises suspicions of corruption within the inner circles of the Party. This unfair process also sets a bad example for and compares unfavorably with Green Parties in other countries.

The PCSC's role is to facilitate getting all the candidates on the Green Party primary and caucus ballots in every state. PCSC should be creating a fair, open and supportive environment for the candidates to campaign in rather than bogging down the candidates with antagonistic bureaucracy.

The PCSC was designed to help all the candidates and ensure that we all experience a fair election process. Sadly, the relationship we have experienced with the PCSC has been adversarial, with the Committee doing more to hamper our campaigns and find ways to force us to drop out than to help us reach Green Party voters.

Furthermore, the PCSC is not applying the 'official recognition' criteria equally or fully to all candidates. The Committee has granted official recognition to Howie Hawkins even though he's not eligible for official recognition because he is a member of another political party. In an interview on New Jersey Revolution Radio in September, he revealed that he has been a member

Socialist Party USA since 1970. He announced that he is seeking the SPUSA Presidential nomination this election cycle as well as the GPUS nomination. This interview is viewable at <https://www.facebook.com/NJRevRad/videos/42649567382238>

GPUS Rule & Procedure 10-1.2, which is one of the requirements for official recognition of a Green Party Presidential candidate, states:

10-1.2 Party Affiliation: Candidate is not a registrant or otherwise a party member of any state or national level political party in the individual's primary state of residence except for a state party which has affiliated with GPUS, or a party forming for the intent of GPUS affiliation in a state where there is no GPUS affiliated state party."

Everyone is welcome to seek the GPUS Presidential nomination and adhere to the rules. As Presidential candidates seeking official recognition from the GPUS we are refraining from membership in other parties as required by the Rules & Procedures of the GPUS. If other candidates cannot yet be "officially recognized" because we haven't met the Fundraising criteria, then Hawkins must not be "officially recognized" either because he does not satisfy the Party Affiliation criteria.

Either Hawkins did not disclose his membership in the SPUSA, or the PCSC chose to ignore the fact that he did not satisfy this criterion. In either case, the PCSC must rescind his current Official Recognition. He should be listed as a candidate who is seeking but has not been granted Official Recognition.

The FEC requires presidential candidates to state which party primary they are running in. The GPUS Platform calls for many electoral reforms but not for fusion voting. https://www.gpus.org/democracy_2018DemPolicyReform It is not clear if the GPUS will approve of our Presidential nominees utilizing multiple ballot lines in the November 2020 election. Ballot fusion or fusion voting is illegal in most U.S. states. Only eight states allow ballot fusion.

In April 2019 five Green Party presidential candidates issued a joint statement expressing disappointment that State Green Parties were inviting one candidate to come speak at their state conventions but no other candidates. See this statement at https://www.gpus.org/equal_access_for_all_candidates This problem has not been resolved and is worse than we had originally thought. Last week we found out that in April of this year, the Green-Rainbow Party of Massachusetts actually paid the expenses of Candidate Hawkins to speak at the Green-Rainbow Party annual convention. He was the only presidential candidate invited to speak at their convention. Candidate Hunter travelled from Ohio to the Massachusetts convention at his own expense and was not allowed to speak. Candidate David Rolde is a member of the Massachusetts Party and attended the convention but also was not invited to speak.

In July 2019 six Green Party presidential candidates issued a joint statement about continued unfair treatment, specifically about Howie Hawkins being included as a presenter in two workshops at the GPUS Annual National Meeting (ANM) while three other candidates (Dennis Lambert, Sednam Kinamo Christin Moyowasizfa-Curry, and David Rolde) had their workshop proposals denied. The July joint statement also raised the issue of members of the Hawkins campaign team members serving on the GPUS Steering Committee and Media Committee as well as unfairly using their positions to promote Hawkins' campaign.

See <https://www.facebook.com/ms.akroncny/posts/10214810211194150> In addition we believe that members of his campaign team unfairly had their travel expenses paid by the GPUS for them to come campaign at the ANM.

Another incident occurred in September. Only two of seven candidates (Hawkins and Hunter) were invited to speak at the Eco-Socialism Conference on September 28 in Chicago. Other candidates were not invited. The organizers of the conference claimed that they did not have to

include all candidates because it was not an official GPUS event. But two of the six members of the organizing committee — Gloria Malters and Anita Rios — are GPUS Co-Chairs, and the conference was promoted on official GPUS social media. Given the preferential treatment we are wondering if Hawkins and Hunter were paid for their appearances at the Chicago Eco-Socialism Conference? If so where did the money come from?

Meanwhile Green Party presidential candidate Chad Wilson has been banned from posting on some GPUS Facebook pages. Other Green Party Presidential candidates have also had difficulty getting access onto GPUS media.

The PCSC has done nothing to help resolve these issues. Our previous private complaints to the PCSC have been ignored. Therefore, we are making this public joint statement and publicly issuing these five demands for the PCSC:

•Act in adherence with the Green Party's Ten Key Values by helping all the Green Party Presidential candidates get on the state Green Party primary and caucus ballots, rather than trying to force some candidates out of the election. PCSC must allow the grassroots membership of the GPUS to make the decision of whom we nominate.

•Follow the Rules & Procedures of the Green Party of the United States by continuing to keep all candidates who are seeking official recognition on the PCSC's publicly displayed list of active candidates, as well as by continuing to provide public access to the PCSC questionnaire submitted by each candidate.

•The PCSC's list must state that "all the candidates are 'active candidates' as the current list only states" as the list through July 2019, not "other candidates" as the list currently list

•Enforce the criteria for official recognition for all candidates equally. Rescind Howie Hawkins' official recognition because Hawkins is violating the "Party Affiliation" recognition criterion (set out in the Rules & Procedures of the GPUS) by being a member of another party.

•Replace both PCSC Co-Chairs. The committee needs new leadership who will be committed to conducting and facilitating a fair process.

Signed,

Five 2020 Green Party Presidential Candidates (listed alphabetically):

Dennis Lambert

Sednam Kinamo Christin Moyowasizfa-Curry

David Rolde

Ian Schlakman

Chad Wilson

Exhibit 14: North Carolina Primary

10-1.2 Party Affiliation: Candidate is not a registrant or otherwise a party member of any state or national level political party in the individual's primary state of residence except for a state party which has affiliated with GPUS, or a party forming for the intent of GPUS affiliation in a state where there is no GPUS affiliated state party.

1.2 Party Affiliation: Candidate is not a registrant or otherwise a party member of any state or national level political party in the individual's primary state of residence except for a state party which has affiliated with GPUS, or a party forming for the intent of GPUS affiliation in a state where there is no GPUS affiliated state party. Dual membership with a GPUS-affiliated party and another left/progressive party is allowed. Examples of left/progressive parties that would be considered allowable for dual membership include Workers World Party, Socialist Party USA, Peace and Freedom Party, and the Party for Socialism and Liberation. Examples of parties that are not left/progressive include the Democratic, Republican, Constitution, Reform, and Libertarian Parties. In general, the NCGP does not consider parties that assume capitalism as a primary economic system to be progressive/left.

North Carolina primary [\[edit \]](#)

North Carolina Green Party presidential primary, March 3, 2020^[52]

Candidate	Votes	Percentage	National delegates
Howie Hawkins	247	60.54%	4
No Preference	161	39.46%	0
Total	408	100.00%	4

Exhibit 15: Texas Primary

Texas primary [edit]

Texas Green Party presidential primary, April 18, 2020 ^[56]			
Candidate	Votes	Percentage ^[k]	National delegates
Howie Hawkins	40	46.51%	20
Dario Hunter	16	18.60%	3
Kent Mesplay	13	15.12%	2
No Preference	5	5.81%	0
Susan Buchser-Lochocki	4	4.65%	1
Sedinam Moyowasifza-Curry	3	3.49%	0
Dennis Lambert	3	3.49%	0
Chad Wilson	1	1.16%	0
David Rolde	1	1.16%	0
Total	86	100.00%	26

Summary

Two independent tallies were conducted, one called “preliminary” and the other called “verification”. Each tally is recorded in a separate excel workbook file. Both tallies resulted in the same outcome: 20 delegates for Howie, 3 for Dario, 2 for Kent, and 1 for Susan.

Notes

www.bgreens.org/2020_convention_public_office_voting_results

7/6/2020

2020 Convention - Public Office Voting Results - Green Party of Texas

If a voter votes for NOTA, and no other candidates, then the exclusion of that voter's ballot does not affect the outcome. (There is exactly one ballot like this, which is removed to simplify the tally).

Given no seats will be given to NOTA, any voter that voted for NOTA and at least one other candidate will have NOTA removed from their ballots. All other information on their ballots will remain. (There are exactly 4 such ballots).

Consider two candidates, x and y. Suppose that every voter that approves x also approves y, but not every voter that approves y also approves x. Under such circumstances, x can never win a delegate (because x's score for any given round will always be less than the score for y at that given round) and thus x does not affect any ballot reweightings nor the outcome of the election. Thus candidates such as x can be removed from the tally, for simplification purposes, without affecting the outcome. Thus, we can remove Chad, David, and Dennis from ballots without affecting the outcome.

If a voter approves of every candidate that wins a delegate, then such a voter's ballot never affects the outcome of an election. Thus, for tallying purposes, their ballot can be removed. (There is exactly one such voter that clearly falls under this class of voters because they approved of every unremoved candidate, but after the tally it is clear there are two. In order to make it clear in the tally, I only remove the ballot of the voter who pre-tally will clearly not affect the outcome.)

Ties are broken by giving each tied candidate an equal probability of being chosen the winner in a round. This is done by assigning each of the n tied candidates a positive integer between 1 and n in alphabetic order (e.g., if the tied candidates are Dario and Howie, then Dario is assigned 1 and Howie is assigned 2). Using the random integer generator on random.org, each integer from 1 to n is given an equal probability of being chosen as the winner.

In Round 7, a tie occurred between Dario and Howie which broke in favor of Dario. Below is the time stamped verification that Dario randomly won this round.

In Round 8, the winner was Howie. In the verification tally, I switched the tiebreaker to let Howie win in Round 7, which thus resulted in Dario winning in Round 8. This means that in this case, how the tie was broken in Round 7 did not affect the final outcome after all 26 rounds, because whoever lost the tiebreaker in Round 7 went on to win Round 8.

The preliminary excel workbook containing the preliminary tally consists of 27 sheets. The first sheet is a summary of results. Each of the remaining 26 sheets documents a round of the election.

The verification excel workbook contains 26 sheets. Because the final distribution of delegates was identical, I did not write a summary sheet for the verification workbook.

For the most part, the spreadsheets are self-explanatory. If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me: mrprasad@berkeley.edu

www.bgreens.org/2020_convention_public_office_voting_results

3/5

2/5

Exhibit 16: Missouri Convention

7/1/2020

Yahoo Mail - Re: Equal Time for Presidential Candidates

Re: Equal Time for Presidential Candidates

From: Ron Burch ([REDACTED])
To: d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu
Cc: fitzdon@aol.com
Date: Wednesday, May 29, 2019, 08:18 PM EDT

Fairness Guidelines put out by the PCSC:
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZD9o-0C5clOva-Tp7KdhwN3kqLINTg7vrh1NgEoA/edit?usp=sharing>

On Wed, May 29, 2019, 7:10 PM Ron Burch <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I agree with Dario on this. I am on the NC as well as the PCSC. He is accurate that there are only a handful of candidates which level of seriousness is determined by a set of criteria. I am reforwarding the Fairness guidelines the PCSC put out after this issue came up in several other state parties. The fact is Howie is now a presidential candidate vying for the GP nomination he is no longer just the 'grandfather of the GND' and we have to give everyone who is a presidential candidate equal time until after our nominee is selected.

Ron B

On Wed, May 29, 2019, 6:48 PM Dario Hunter <d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu> wrote:

Hi Don (and your fellow MO Greens),

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me about the MO Greens' potential plans regarding opportunities for 2020 presidential candidates to speak.

As I mentioned to you during that conversation, your state party's plan for Howie Hawkins to speak when no other presidential candidates have been given equal time is highly problematic. The explanation given was that the invitation preceded candidacy (or the exploratory phase) and that he will only talk about the Green New Deal. The reality as well as the public perception is that you are providing exposure for one candidate that no other candidate has been given. It doesn't matter what the parameters of his talk will be; you will be giving him an exceptional opportunity to speak to your state party.

A tour of state party conventions and events has been underway on the part of Mr. Hawkins all with the explanation that the invitations are only to speak about the Green New Deal. Before you, the same exceptional platform has been provided to him in MA, CT and in IL in recent weeks. No one credibly believes the timing of this (i.e. alongside his campaign) to be a coincidence. Other candidates as well voting members of the Green party at large have expressed dismay at these events and the exceptional promotional opportunities afforded Mr. Hawkins. In the wake of controversy surrounding the IL event, members of some state parties (e.g. MI) have been actively discussing how to avoid such controversy. Every other candidate (i.e. who had submitted a letter of intent to the PCSC at the time) has signed onto a joint letter calling out such events and the fundamental unfairness they inject into our democratic processes as a party. That letter can be found here: https://www.gp.org/equal_access_for_all_candidates

In our conversation, you informed me that you had been told there were 'about 25' candidates and that would make giving equal time an undue burden, especially considering their varying level of seriousness. I referred you to the party's own processes regarding presidential candidacies that help clarify who is and isn't a 'serious candidate.' To my knowledge, only 9 active candidates have submitted a letter of intent to the Presidential Campaign Support Committee. [Alan Augustson just dropped out today.] You can learn more about them at the national party's 2020 website: <https://www.gp.org/2020> State parties that have been sensitive to providing equal time, such as PA, have offered it to those candidates. At the PA convention, that resulted in four candidates speaking as not everyone accepted the offer.

7/1/2020

Yahoo Mail - Re: Equal Time for Presidential Candidates




Exhibit 17: Illinois Convention

7/1/2020

Yahoo Mail - RE: Fwd: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

RE: Fwd: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

From: chair@ilgp.org

To: d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu

Date: Sunday, April 7, 2019, 09:47 PM EDT

That is correct. Howie is giving the keynote address at the dinner, but will not have any speaking role at the convention itself, nor will there be any candidate addresses at all. This meeting is very much focused on internal state party business.

Regards,
Rich

-----Original Message-----

From: "Dario Hunter" <d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu>

Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2019 11:10pm

To: chair@ilgp.org

Subject: Fwd: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

Hi Rich,

Thank you for your response. Just to clarify, is it now the case that no candidates (including Howie) will have a speaking role at the upcoming convention?

Regards,
Dario Hunter

Begin forwarded message:

From: chair@ilgp.org

Subject: RE: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

Date: April 4, 2019 at 10:34:58 PM EDT

To: "Dario Hunter" <d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu>

Cc: ilgp-execs@yahoogroups.com

Hi Dario,

I am so sorry about the delayed response to your inquiry, but with municipal elections and other things going on, we have been way behind in getting this meeting organized.

At any rate, thank you for your interest. You are of course, more than welcome to attend our meeting and the evening fundraising dinner, but this meeting was planned primarily to discuss our state party organization, elect officers, etc., and we did not plan to have candidate speeches at this meeting. (Yes, I know, Howie Hawkins will be there, but we had invited him as a keynote speaker weeks ago, before he announced his intention to run for president.)

Of course, you would still have opportunities to "work the room" during breaks, meet people during socializing at the dinner, put out your flyers, etc.

It is much more probable that we will have some time set aside for short candidate speeches at our Fall meeting, usually held in October. Let me know if you are interested in that, and I will do my best to keep you informed.


In Solidarity,

Rich Whitney
Co-Chair



Dario Hunter
@dario4america

Here's our request to speak at an IL Green Party Convention in 2019. Note the disingenuous response that no candidates would be allowed to speak, and yet that Howie Hawkins would be the keynote speaker. I decided to call fellow attendees to hear me speak off the agenda at lunch.



7/1/2020

Yahoo Mail - RE: Fwd: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

-----Original Message-----

From: "Dario Hunter" <d_hunter@alumni.princeton.edu>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:17pm

To: chair@ilgp.org

Subject: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention

Re: Illinois Green Party Spring Convention - Apr. 13

If possible, I'd like to be added to the agenda to address attendees about my campaign for the Green Party presidential nomination in 2020.

Thanks,
Dario Hunter
dariohunter.com

Exhibit 18: Delegate Changes

Green Party of the United States

192 votes by delegates at the Green Party convention on Saturday, July 11 are necessary to win the 2020 nomination


How is that number arrived at?

- Green Party Convention Rules 5-10.1 state that a majority of voting delegates is needed to win the nomination.

- Green Party Convention Rules Article III state that the maximum number of convention delegates will be 400 +/- 2 from states, territories and caucuses, based upon a formula approved by the Green Party National Committee and placed in the GPUS Rules and Procedures.

- The most recent apportionment provides for a maximum number of delegates at 402, based upon Convention Delegates coming from all 50 states, the District of Colombia, four US Territories and Green Party caucuses.

- For 2020, the effective maximum number of



Howie Hawkins @HowieHawkins

With recent victories and a change in the total possible delegates announced by the national party, we now have 98% of the delegates needed to secure the Green nomination!

Donate and get involved at howiehawkins.us

Delegate Change: gps.org/committees/cre...

9:03 AM · Jun 16, 2020 · Hootsuite Inc.

51 Retweets 144 Likes



Dario Hunter @darioAmerica

Thank you Maine (Wabanakeag) for your resounding support! Our campaign won a clear primary majority - over 30% ahead our nearest competition (& 2 to 1 in delegates). Shout out to our Indigenous People's Policy Advisor, Dawn Neptune Adams of the Penobscot Nation for your hard work

4:07 PM · Jun 14, 2020 · Twitter Web App

45 Retweets 112 Likes

IrresponsibleBerna... · Jun 14
Replying to @darioAmerica
Is it still possible for Dario to win?

2020 Green Party presidential primaries

	TBD		
	← 2016		
	2024 →		
	350 ^[a] delegates to the Green National Convention ^{[1][2]} 175 delegates votes needed to win		
			
Candidate	Howie Hawkins	Dario Hunter	Uncommitted
Home state	New York	California	n/a
Estimated delegate count	173	63.5	14.5 ^[b]
Contests won	29	4	3 ^{[c][d]}
Popular vote	5,182 ^[e]	3,087 ^[e]	1,662 ^[e]
Percentage	34.7%	20.7%	8.8%

Exhibit 19: Kansas “Phantom” Primary

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
Replying to @KSGreenParty
We held an online primary last month. We notified the 15 individuals on our membership list of the weeklong period in which votes would be accepted. They were told that they could submit votes via email and that their vote could be cast for Hawkins, Hunter, or Rolde.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
At that time, those were the only candidates who qualified for national Green Party recognition.

Of our 15 members, 6 cast a vote. All votes were cast for Howie Hawkins.

We recognize that this number of votes is disproportionately small compared to other parties.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
We know of no official process for altering the number of delegates allocated to our party. However, we would honor any new audit of the number of members for the state parties and reallocation of delegates which would result in KSGP having fewer than 4 delegates.

Dario Hunter @dario4america · Jun 6
Really, KS? People need facts from YOU. Your website (& Twitter) had no public info on your race. Candidates were not contacted (to notify them & introduce them to KS voters). Your account didn't let anyone know about your 'process' until you called it 'unanimously' for Hawkins.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty
Replying to @dario4america @nikoCSFB and @MscsNetwork
Kansas isn't the problem here, Dario. We have very little influence in the national party. Our primary was late in the process and we chose to keep our process internal and informal. Current Kansas Green Party members were notified of the voting period...

11:30 AM · Jun 6, 2020 · Twitter for Android

7 Retweets 3 Likes

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty
Replying to @dario4america @nikoCSFB and @MscsNetwork
Kansas isn't the problem here, Dario. We have very little influence in the national party. Our primary was late in the process and we chose to keep our process internal and informal. Current Kansas Green Party members were notified of the voting period...

11:30 AM · Jun 6, 2020 · Twitter for Android

7 Retweets 3 Likes

Dario Hunter @dario4america · Jun 6
Replying to @KSGreenParty @nikoCSFB and @MscsNetwork
You are indeed part of the problem. You kept your process "internal"? So much for the party claiming it's trying to build itself up & attract new Greens & Berners. How would they know about your "internal" primary? You didn't even send notice to recognized candidates. Shameful.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
We don't have any endgame here. We just want to participate and we need support from other Greens, not accusations of corruption.

Let it be known, @dario4america, that your accusations against us only serve to divide Greens and hurt our shared movement.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
The people you accuse of corruption are the only ones holding a Green Party in Kansas together. Without us, there is no Kansas Green Party. Maybe you would prefer that.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
Replying to @KSGreenParty @dario4america and 2 others
... and the candidate options. Hawkins, Hunter, and Rolde were all eligible. We did not have communications with ANY candidates about the primary.

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
We will endeavor to have a more open process in 2024.

Dario Hunter @dario4america · Jun 6
Are you -----ing kidding me? So let us get this one off for Hawkins and we'll "endeavor" (try) to be democratic in four years? Y'all really are on some dumb 'ish. And you're insulting the intelligence of candidates and voters alike.



GreensKC @GreensKc · Jun 6
@kelleydragon here. KS we can help you&work together! Dario's frustration is real and one i am experiencing myself.
we, as a Party, have to work twice as hard and be four times as transparent. give the people a reason to trust us and SHOW them a better way of engaging. reach out!

Kansas Green Party 🌱🇺🇸 @KSGreenParty · Jun 6
Thank you, Kelley! We really appreciate that. Lets get in touch soon!

Exhibit 21: Colorado Green Party Dispute 2

6/17/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Challenge to Colorado Delegate Credentials Application - Evidence Files



On Thu, May 7, 2020 at 9:13 AM Sierra Garcia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello Mr. Hempy,

Thank you for reaching out to me. I am responding to let you know that per the guidelines we are going to have to decline your nomination on the basis that you must be a member of a local chapter and in good standing, with your revocation of rights of participation in effect and the lack of membership status to a local chapter the guidelines aren't followed in your self nomination and therefore are declined.

Thank you for your time,
Sierra Garcia
Secretary of GPCO

On Thu, May 7, 2020, 8:22 AM Harry Hempy <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear "contact@coloradogreenparty.org" and Secretary Garcia:

In response to the [call for nominations](#), published on the GPCO website on April 26, 2020, I, Harry Hempy, nominate myself to be an uncommitted delegate from Colorado to the 2020 GPUS Presidential Nominating Convention.

The basis for my nomination includes:

My first choice vote in the GPCO virtual primary was for a candidate who did not win any delegates. I am one for four such voters in the GPCO virtual primary.

I have never donated to or endorsed any candidate for the GPUS Presidential Nomination or their campaigns.

I have never received money or any valuable consideration from any candidate for the GPUS Presidential Nomination or their campaigns.

I am the only nominee for the GPCO Presidential slate who can truthfully make these claims.

Please provide me information about all upcoming meetings regarding delegate selection and any additional actions required of nominees to complete the delegate selection process, governed by GPCO Rules and Procedures Section 3.8.1.

Sincerely,
Harry Hempy

Report of GPCO state meeting to select Colorado's delegation to GPUS Presidential Nominating Convention.doc
333K

Delegate Nomination for GPCO Presidential Slate.eml
15.7K

Exhibit 22: Dispute Filed by Primary Delegates With GPUS Rejected as of 07/06/2020

 **Dario Hunter**
@dario4america

Here's tonight's special announcement. Thank you for your patience and for your support for Green values.

 Hunter 2020 - Special Announcement Re: Green Pres. Primary and...
[youtube.com](#)

8:45 PM · Jun 29, 2020 · [Twitter for iPhone](#)

189 Retweets 341 Likes

 **Dario Hunter**
@dario4america

The delegates mounting a challenge against multiple states/caucuses for varied irregularities in the Green primary process were notified this morning via email that the challenges had been received and are being "reviewed."

2:32 PM · Jul 4, 2020 · [Twitter for iPad](#)

45 Retweets 145 Likes

 **Dario Hunter**
@dario4america

In case you missed our update, we discussed the delegates' reasons for bringing the Green Pres. primary challenges & the party's unconscionable refusal to consider them. There's also a shocking revelation abt. the alleged conduct of a Hawkins team member.

 Election Integrity
Green Party of the United States, let's have a chat, shall we?
Dario Hunter 2020
[facebook.com](#)

10:26 PM · Jul 6, 2020 · [Twitter Web App](#)

16 Retweets 43 Likes

Delegate Dispute Announcement: <https://youtu.be/ynlGcwfJW2l>

Dispute Rejection Announcement:
<https://www.facebook.com/552371475/videos/10157617734766476/>

Exhibit 23.1: Multiple States Have Not Released Primary Results as of 07/07/2020. The Nominating Convention Begins 07/09/2020

- **May 23:** Hunter won Hawaii. Washington primary TBA.
- **May 24:** Hawkins won the Young Ecosocialists (YES) primary.
- **May 28:** The Green Party of Rhode Island announces they will not endorse nor provide any ballot access efforts for any Green Party candidate in the 2020 election.
- **May 30:** Hawkins won Florida, Maryland, and Mississippi primaries. Hunter won Idaho.
- **June 2:** Hawkins won the District of Columbia as Montana votes no preference for their candidate.
- **June 4:** Hawkins won Indiana.
- **June 6:** Hawkins won Oregon.
- **June 9:** Hawkins won Nevada.
- **June 12:** Hunter won Maine.
- **June 14:** Hawkins won Connecticut.
- **June 19:** The Alaska Green Party endorses Sedinam Curry for President, and commits their delegates to her, despite not registering for the Green National Convention.

Results and Calendar [\[edit \]](#)

Date (daily totals)	Total national delegates ^[1]	Contest ^[a]	Delegates won				
			Howie Hawkins	Dario Hunter	David Rolde	Sedinam Moyowasifza-Curry	Den Lam


June 2 (8)	4	District of Columbia	4 TBD (TBD)
	4 Exclude From Total	Montana	

June 14 (15)	11	Connecticut	6 TBD (TBD)
	4	Nebraska	TBD

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_Green_Party_presidential_primaries

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Results_of_the_2020_Green_Party_presidential_primaries

Exhibit 23.2: DC Statehood Green Delegates Awarded to The Hawkins Campaign Without Releasing a Breakdown of Votes to as of 07/07/2020. The Nominating Convention Begins 07/09/2020



https://electionresults.dcboe.org/election_results/2020-Primary-Election

<http://dcstatehoodgreen.org/news/>

